# (192 7) Department.

Ordinary SERIES.

**G.O. No.** 1215

**DATED** 27-6-1927

[Despatch Abstract.]

Boundary — Mysore - South Kanara - Periodical inspection

*Telephone Clerk's Initials.*

Endorsement No. 7181 dated 7.7. 1941.

The G.O. No 1215 Rev. D. 27.6.27 as furnished.
Indexes of the Rev. dept from 1928 to 1935 were examin
Furnished. Please acknowledge receipt. but no further papers were
traceable

7.7.41

D.F. Macnamara
for *Assistant Curator.*

7.7. 1941.

To
The Supt.
Rev.

Received.

194

D 7/7

# CALL FOR RECORDS.

No. 7181 MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Date 7/7 194/ Hours 12 Minutes √

Urgent / Special

From Rev C

Records called for C. No. 1237 of 194/.

| Department. | Number. | Date. | Subject. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rev | 1215 | 27.6.27 | Corr. C. + Forts Pb. |
| | | 2 | |

2-MAY 1927
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

No. 3870 Enc. 1
522-1900

FROM

THE HON'BLE Mr. S.E. Pears, C.S.I., C.I.E.,

RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

R. 1.25
Ex. Jan 2.5.
Rec'd 3/5/27
3/5

TO

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Revenue Department.

*Dated Bangalore,* 30th April *192* 7.

BOUNDARY - SOUTH CANARA -
SETTLEMENT AND DEMARCATION.

SIR,

I have the honour to refer to my letter No.1612/522-1900, dated the 17th February 1927, and to forward a copy of a letter No.Pol.915/Ft.42-26-24, dated the 27th April 1927, from the Government of Mysore; suggesting a systematic and periodical inspection of the whole boundary line by the officers of both the Governments jointly and to request that I may be favoured with the views of the Government of Madras in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,.

S. Pears

Major.

Letter No.Pol.915/Ft.42-26-24, dated the 27th April 1927, from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Law Department, to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

In inviting kind reference to correspondence ending with letter No.Pol.734, dated the 26th February 1927, - addressed to you by Mr. N. Rama Rao, Secretary to the - Government of His Highness the Maharaja, Development - - Departments, communicating the acceptance of the boundary between Mysore and South Canara as finally settled by the Officer of the Survey of India deputed for the purpose, I am directed to state that, as the Government of Madras - are not inclined to incur any expenditure on the periodical clearance of the boundary - vide paragraph 3 of their Order No.1156 dated 15th July 1926, the Government of - Mysore have, in view of the nature of the tract which is hilly and sparsely populated and the absence of provision for periodical inspection, reason to apprehend that the cairns are likely to be easily displaced, especially if they consist of a heap of boulders with no distinctive mark and that such displacement, if any, will lead to - the renewal of the boundary question in future. They consider therefore that it will be advantageous to have the whole boundary-line subjected to a sympathetic and periodical inspection - say once in three years - by the officers of both the Governments jointly, so that -- defects if any noticed, may be rectified on the spot - without leading to any controversy or correspondence.

I am to request you to communicate the above suggestion to the Madras Government and obtain their - views in the matter.

---:oOo:---

" C O P Y "

REGISTRAR, RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Revenue Department

DESPATCHED 14-6-1927

Letter No. 1628 E/27-1, dated 10/6/27

To

The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysc
Bangalore.

Sir,

Boundary - Mysore-South Kanara
Periodical inspection - Your letter
3870/522-1900 dated 30-4-27.

The Mysore Darbar apprehend that in the absence of provision for periodical inspection the cairns on Mysore-South Kanara boundary are lik to be easily displaced. In this con nection I am directed to invite y attention to para.2 of G.O.No.115 venue dated 15-7-26, In which orde have already been passed about th maintenance of the cairns. I am directed to invite your attention para.7 of the report of the joint presentatives and Mr. Morton dated 8-6-25 in which it is stated that "there should be no difficulty in identifying the boundary with the of the Vandyke prints in conjuncti

with the descriptive memorandum which has been prepared, so as to incorporate every detail on the ground".

This Government ~~consider~~ are of opinion that the boundary if lost could be relaid, without much difficulty, with the help of the maps and memorandum.

In the circumstances the Governor in Council ~~does not see any need for~~ holds the view that a triennial inspection of the whole boundary line is unnecessary. There seems no reason to apprehend that the boundary dispute is likely to be raised in future.

I have etc.

8.6.27

Copy to the Board of Revenue, with a copy of the letter from the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore in the c.f.

Encl. Corr. A.K. 2076

DE[illegible]ED

CA 1927 2076

C.F. 16-17-2-14.

**OFFICE NOTE.**

Revenue Department

C No 1628 E/27 SUBJFCT. Survey - Boundary - Mysore-South Kanara - Periodical Inspection.

From The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore

No 3870 E / 522-1906 dt 30-4-1927.

Register No. 1698 E/27

To Under Secretary

Please see the letter from the Government of Mysore suggesting a periodical inspection of the whole boundary line between Mysore and South Kanara by the officers of both the Governments. Attention is in this connection invited to para 3 of the Board's Reference at page 25 of Go. No 1156 Rev dt 15-7-1926 and to para 2 and 3 of the Go. The only reason adduced by the Mysore Government for a periodical inspection is that the cairns in the Mysore South Kanara Boundary are likely to be easily displaced and that such displacement if any will lead to the renewal of the boundary question in future. But this Govt. have in para 2 of Go. No 1156 dt 15-7-26 already issued orders for the maintenance of these

Cairns.

2 Attention is also invited to the memo at pp 5-6 of the linked file II (C.No 344E/26) in which a reference has been made to the Board of Revenue on the question of the maintenance of frontier boundaries. If the proposals outlined in the memo are to be approved, in the absence of a special agreement with the Mysore Government about the inspection of the Mysore South Kanara boundary the procedure outlined in (1) (2) & (3) of the memo will have to be followed.

3. In the circumstances it is for consideration whether the Hon'ble the Resident at Mysore Government may be informed that this Govt. are unable to accept the suggestion of the Mysore Govt that the whole boundary line should be subjected to a periodical inspection.

4) It does not appear necessary the letter in the C.F. to the Board for remarks

May be referred to the B in view of the fact that a report has been called for. linked file II

DK. 1/5/27

MMV 165.

The suggestion of Mysore is that there should be a joint periodical inspection.

W.r.t. the works and orders in the G.Os below -

(1) Has it been decided that periodical inspection is necessary?

(2) What arrangements have been made for the maintenance of the survey marks?

MDV

To Under Secy

(1) In G.O. No 1928 Rev dy 1-8-1907 orders were issued directing that the District Forest Officer, South Kanara should inspect the Mysore - South Kanara boundary line once in every three years in Company with an officer of the Mysore Govt. In letter No 135 dy 15-1-1914 the Darbar was informed that the disputes in the boundary should be left to the final arbitrament of a Single officer and if the Darbar be unable to accept this proposal the proposed revision of the settled boundary will be stopped. In the latter case the Darbar was informed

that the triennial inspection will be abandoned.
In 1920 the Darbar agreed to have the boundary settled by an arbitrator Later on the only question raised was about the maintenance of the boundary and not about periodical inspection About the question of maintenance attention is invited to the Secretary's Notes at page 4-3 of fo. No 1156 Rem of 15-7-1926

(2) Re. maintenance of survey marks it is submitted that there are no survey marks to be maintained along the boundary Orders about the maintenance of cairns on the boundary have been passed in para 2 of fo No 1156 Rem of 15-7-2

Perhaps we may await the report in linked file II for which the Board may be reminded

PK 20/5/27

Correspondence at pp 2-8, 15 + 16 of fo 184 of 30-1-25 (4)
+ Item (5) at p 23, paras 3 + 4 at p 25 paras 7 + 8 at pp 32-3, + para 34 order at p 9 of fo. in (5)

To Under Secy

A draft letter in this file and a draft C.M. in linked file ✗ are submitted for approval

MRV 8.6.27

✗ dis liked

DK. 5/6/27

S

Draft for approval

25.6.27

C.

M

Issue 26.6.27

pps-6.CF. Issued as letter No 1628 E/27-1 dt 10.6.

To Under Secretary

For facility of future reference the papers may be recorded A draft order is submitted

MRV 25.6.27

OK. 24/6/27

close file. d. note destroyed. ASR 28.6.27

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 192

" " " " ..... " ..... 192

*READ ALSO:—*

C. No. 1688E/24. From the ....., No. ....., dated ..... 192

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " ..... 192

" " ..... " ..... " ..... 192

**ORDER.** No. 1215, dated 27. 6. 1927

this

Recorded.

25.6.27

OK.
24/6/27